



PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES RAM Photonics, LLC, Vahid Ataie and Stojan Radic

ISSUE Whether a certificate should be issued in accordance with section 13(3) to the effect that Stojan Radic should not have been mentioned as inventor for patent number GB2570213

HEARING OFFICER Susan Eaves

DECISION

- 1 Patents Form 7 names two inventors: Vahid Ataie and Stojan Radic.
- 2 RAM Photonics, LLC, the patent proprietor and Stojan Radic have filed an application under section 13(3) to the effect that Stojan Radic should not have been mentioned as a joint inventor in the patent application.
- 3 Vahid Ataie the currently named inventor, has confirmed in writing that he consents to the application. Stojan Radic has also confirmed in writing that he ought not to have been named as a joint inventor in the patent application.
- 4 As such the application is treated as uncontested. I therefore conclude that Stojan Radic should be removed from the list of inventors. I also direct, that an addendum slip be prepared for the published patent application accordingly.
- 5 This decision serves as a certificate, issued in accordance with section 13(3), to the effect that Stojan Radic should not have been mentioned as inventor in the published patent application.

SUSAN EAVES

B3 Tribunals Technical Co-ordinator, acting for the Comptroller