Intellectual Property Office

BL O/377/16

08 August 2016

PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES China Academy of Telecommunications Technology

ISSUE Mention of additional inventor & inventor not to be mentioned for patent number EP2525508

HEARING OFFICER Susan Eaves

DECISION

1 China Academy of Telecommunications Technology, the patent proprietor, filed an application in accordance with rule 10(2) of the Patent Rules 2007 and Section 13(3) of the Patents Act 1977 to the effect that Rakesh Tamrakar should be mentioned as a joint inventor and Gaishi La should not be mentioned as a joint inventor.

2 China Academy of Telecommunications Technology, Ranran Zhang, Qiubin Gao, Deshan Miao, Meifang Jing, Gaishi La and Rakesh Tamrakar subsequently filed written consent in support of the application. As such the application is treated as unopposed.

3 Accordingly, I find that Rakesh Tamrakar should be mentioned as a joint inventor in the granted patent for the invention and that Gaishi La should not have been mentioned in the granted patent. The decision serves as a certificate to this effect. I therefore direct, in accordance with rule 10(1), that an addendum slip mentioning Rakesh Tamrakar as joint inventor be prepared for the granted patent for the invention. I also direct than an addendum slip be prepared for the granted patent stating that Gaishi La should not have been mentioned as an inventor.

SUSAN EAVES
B3 Head of Litigation, acting for Comptroller